United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/762,168	02/26/2001		Jurgen Braband	Mo-6198/MA P-2	1329
157	7590	03/04/2004		EXAMINER	
BAYER POLYMERS LLC			DANG, T	HUAN D	
100 BAYER ROAD PITTSBURGH, PA 15205				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1111000001, 111 10200				1764	

DATE MAILED: 03/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applica	ant(s)				
		09/762,168	BRABA	AND ET AL. (\(\sigma\)				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Uni	it	_			
		Thuan D. Dang	1764					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover s	heet with the correspo	ndence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we react to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however within the statutory minimal will apply and will expire SI cause the application to b	er, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be co X (6) MONTHS from the mailing secome ABANDONED (35 U.S.	onsidered timely. g date of this communication. C. § 133).				
.1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Fe	ebruary 2001.						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This a	action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or							
Applicati	on Papers							
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b)□ obje	cted to by the Examine	er.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct							
	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the a	ittached Office Action	or form PTO-152.				
	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			(0)				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. 								
Attachmen	t(s)							
2) Notic	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 N	nterview Summary (PTO-413 otice of Informal Patent App other:	· · ·				

Application/Control Number: 09/762,168

Art Unit: 1764

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The abstract is objected since:

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a <u>single paragraph</u> on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Objections

Claims 1-5 are objected to because of the following informalities: "distil" on line 2 of step (1h) is mispelled. Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 3 and 4 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim should recited in an alternative form or does not depend on other multidependent claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, on lines 10 and 11, the meaning of the expression "covering all measured of the method" cannot be understood by the examiner.

On line 3 of step (1g), concentration ratios should be further defined by the material which is adjusted.

Claim 2 is confusing since it is unclear due to the grammar error. Applicants are suggested to review the claim to correct it.

"preferably" should be removed from claim 4.

Claim 5 is ambiguously constructed and indeterminate in scope because they purport to claim both an apparatus and method of using or practicing the apparatus in a single claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 101 because they improperly embrace both product or machine and process. The language of 35 U.S.C. § 101 sets forth statutory classes of invention in alternative only. See *Ex parte Lyell*, 17 USPQ2d 1549.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 1 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claims 2-4 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thuan D. Dang whose telephone number is 571-272-1445. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Caldarola can be reached on 571-272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thuan D. Dang Primary Examiner Art Unit 1764 Page 4

09762168.20040227 February 27, 2004